UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,716	11/15/2005	Thomas Sagel	BAC011-353	6838	
	7590 11/30/201 ENBERG STEMER LI		BAC011-353 6838  EXAMINER  ING, MATTHEW W  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  3637	IINER	
P O BOX 2480			ING, MATTHEW W		
HOLL Y WOOL	D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/30/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,716	SAGEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW W. ING	3637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ TI  3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matt	•	s
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-45 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 34-45 is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 33 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1)	4) 🗖 Intonious	summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/534,716 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/4/10 has been entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Claims 35-45 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

  Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 2/23/09.

  The restriction requirement being made FINAL in the office action mailed May 11, 2009.
- 3. Claim 34 has also been withdrawn from consideration, since it reads upon non-elected species 2, and since it appears to be substantially the same as now-cancelled claim 19 (which was also withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected species).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fulterer (6,199,966) in view of Cirocco (5,951,132).
- 6. Fulterer teach(es) the structure substantially as claimed, including a rigid frame having an upper segment (Fig. 9) attached to an upper rail (28) and a lower segment (16) attached (via, e.g.,

Application/Control Number: 10/534,716

Art Unit: 3637

frame & said lower rail.

resting of 16 upon 32) to a lower rail (4); an upper rail (28) and a lower rail (4); a furniture front (Fig. 9) is affixed to the rigid frame; a height adjustment screw (15) engaged between the lower frame segment (16) and the lower rail (4); said height-adjustment screw locking said lower segment to said lower rail (col. 7, lines 47-50) via locking means (37, which is engaged by 33), and setting a spacing distance (i.e., distance between rear ends of 16 & 4) between said lower segment (16) & said lower rail (4) for vertically setting a position of said rigid frame between said upper rail & said lower rail (col. 7, lines 52-55); wherein turning said height-adjustment screw (15) causes a vertical adjustment of said rigid frame between said upper (28) & lower (4) rails without unlocking an attachment (via 33 & 37) between said lower segment of said rigid

Page 3

- 7. The only difference between Fulterer and the invention as claimed is that Fulterer fail(s) to teach two height adjustment screws disposed at a spacing distance from one another along the lower rail; and a spring biased locking latch slidably engaged against the screws in the lower frame segment when in the locked condition for locking the rigid frame between the upper rail and the lower rail.
- 8. Cirocco, however, teaches a locking latch (122) for locking one frame segment (20b) to an adjacent frame segment (30), said locking latch being spring biased & slidably engaged against a protrusion (132) in said adjacent frame segment (30) when in the locked condition.

  Additionally, regarding the quantity & locations of the height adjustment screws, it is noted that mere rearrangement & duplication of the essential working parts of a device has been held to involve only routine skill in the art; see MPEP 2144.04 (VI).

Application/Control Number: 10/534,716

Art Unit: 3637

claimed.

9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a second height adjustment screw to the lower rail of Fulterer, and to space said second height adjustment screw along said rail apart from the first height-adjustment screw, in order to provide additional structural support to an adjacent portion of the lower frame segment thereof; and to add a latch, as taught by Cirocco, to the structure of Fulterer, in order to provide a stronger connection between the lower rail & lower frame segment when said frame is not in use, via engagement

between said latch & the screws of Fulterer, thereby providing the structure substantially as

Page 4

10. Regarding claim 32, Fulterer teaches a height adjustment screw (15) screwed (col. 6, lines 52-54) into the lower rail (4); said screw having a head (cylindrical portion of 15 between 32 & 34) which extends through bottom the lower rail, a support surface (32) engaging the bottom side of the lower frame segment (16), and a recess (i.e., space between outer surface of the head & bottom surface of 32) between said head & support surface. Additionally, Cirocco teaches a spring biased locking latch (122) which engages a protrusion (132) at a location spaced from a top surface thereof (see Fig. 12). Additionally, since the topmost portion (33) of the screw (15) of Fulterer already engages the locking portion (37) thereof, and the support surface (32) of said screw lacks any significant spacing between its edges & the inner surfaces of the lower rail (see Fig. 13), it would appear that the head (cylindrical portion of 15 between 32 & 34) of said screw provides the only available area which could be engaged by a spring-biased latch of the type taught by Cirocco. Hence, it is reasonable to conclude that modifying the structure of Fulterer in view of Cirocco would obviously produce a structure wherein a spring-biased locking

Application/Control Number: 10/534,716

Art Unit: 3637

latch engaged the recess of the screw between a head and a bottom side of an elongated structure when said locking latch was in the locked position.

Page 5

### Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 33 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed 11/22/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 13. Applicant argues, with regard to the Fulterer reference, that "The bolt [of Fulterer] does not and cannot lock the frame to the rail." This is not found persuasive, because Fulterer specifically states that the top portion (33) of the height-adjustment screw (15) thereof is "lockingly engaged in the recessed groove 37." When thus engaged, Item 33 (and hence screw 15) at least restricts movement by the lower frame segment (16) in a horizontal direction.
- 14. Applicant additionally argues, with regard to the Cirocco reference, that "The locking latch of Cirocco does not translationally (i.e., vertically) 'lock' a screw head to a frame so that turning of the screw would adjust a distance of the frame from another structure (i.e., a lower rail)." This is not found persuasive, for the following reasons. First, Cirocco is not viewed as teaching a height-adjustment screw; rather, Cirocco is merely cited for teaching, broadly, the inclusion of a spring-biased latch (122) upon a linearly-movable component (20b) to lock said component to an adjacent component (30) via engagement between said latch and a protruded member (132) of some type. It is noted that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking

references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. Second, the feature upon which applicant relies (i.e., a latch which vertically locks a screw head to a frame) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MATTHEW W. ING whose telephone number is (571)272-6536. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darnell M. Jayne can be reached on (571) 272-7723. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Darnell M Jayne/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3637

MWI 11/22/10